

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/723,129	11/25/2003	Cara L. Iddings	IGT1P317/AC042	9452	
79646 7590 07/21/2008 Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - IGT			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Aun: IGT P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250			SHRESTHA,	SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/723 129 IDDINGS, CARA L. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA 3691 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/16/2004 and 10/04/2005.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/723,129 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Priority

Acknowledgement is made of application claim for priority to Provisional Application 60/470,730 filled on 05/14/2003.

# Claim Objections

1. Claim 37 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 36 to which claim 37 dependent on, does not recite "storing jackpot value transfer data". Examiner interprets claim 37 is dependent on claim 34 for this office action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- Claims 1-7 and 9-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 4. Regarding independent claims 1, 9, 22, and 40, as best understood, it appears that the claimed method steps could simply be performed by mental process alone and are not statutory. Based on Supreme Court precedent, a proper process must be tied to

Art Unit: 3691

another statutory class or transform underlying subject matter to a different state or thing (*Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876)). Since neither of these requirements is met by the claim, the method is not considered a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. 101. To qualify as a statutory process, the claim should positively recite the other statutory class to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplished the method steps or positively reciting the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state. The corresponding dependent claims do not cure the deficiency of the independent claims and are rejected on the same basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 3-5, 8-9, 11 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tracy, U.S. Patent No. 5,280,909 (reference A in attached PTO-892).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,129
Art Unit: 3691

 As per claim 1, Tracy teaches a method for authorizing a manual payment of a gaming jackoot (see column 1, lines 43-54), comprising:

receiving a jackpot winning signal from a gaming machine, said jackpot signal including a jackpot value of a player (see Fig. 1, column 3, lines 33-37; where communication unit 26 receives jackpot hit data message from one of the gaming machine 10 and ASCI "0-7" to indicate which of jackpot listed in Table 16 has been hit);

receiving a payment user transaction signal, said transaction signal including a payment user identifier and a jackpot transaction value (see column 6, lines 62-68; where controller 11 conveys payout and control signal to gaming machine enabling itself to make payout);

generating a confirmed jackpot value if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is equal to the jackpot transaction value of the transaction signal (see Fig. 1; column 2, line 68 to column 3, lines 1-8; where communication unit 26 transmit to particular game unit confirming current value of the jackpot hit);

authorizing transfer of the confirmed jackpot value to the player without a requirement for a corroborating payment witnessing user, and creating a record of the authorized transfer (see column 7, lines 25-30); and

creating a record of the authorized transfer (see Fig. 1; memory (22); column 4, lines 61-68 to column 5, lines1-3; 38-42; where Current Value of Jackpot (JPc) is reset to Initial Jackpot Value (JPi) to establish Jackpot Value for next game cycle).

 As per claims 3-5, Tracy teaches claim 1 as described above. Tracy further teaches the method of comprising:

Art Unit: 3691

suspending said gaming machine to prevent further gaming play thereon; transferring the confirmed jackpot value to the player; and releasing the gaming machine to permit gaming play thereon (see column 5, lines 31-50; where CPU 21 resets the jackpot payout value foe new machine cycle after jackpot payment has been made).

 As per claim 8, Tracy teaches an article comprising a storage medium, said storage medium having stored thereon instructions that, when executed by a computing device (see Fig. memory (22); CPU (21)), result in:

receiving a jackpot winning signal from a gaming machine, said jackpot signal including a jackpot value of a player (see Fig. 1, column 3, lines 33-37; where communication unit 26 receives jackpot hit data message from one of the gaming machine 10 and ASCI "0-7" to indicate which of jackpot listed in Table 16 has been hit);

receiving a payment user transaction signal, said transaction signal including a payment user identifier and a jackpot transaction value (see column 6, lines 62-68; where controller 11 conveys payout and control signal to gaming machine enabling itself to make payout):

generating a confirmed jackpot value if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is equal to the jackpot transaction value of the transaction signal (see Fig. 1; column 2, line 68 to column 3, lines 1-8; where communication unit 26 transmit to particular game unit confirming current value of the jackpot hit);

Art Unit: 3691

authorizing transfer of the confirmed jackpot value to the player without a requirement for a corroborating payment witnessing user (see column 7, lines 25-30); and

creating a record of the authorized transfer (see Fig. 1; memory (22); column 4, lines 61-68 to column 5, lines1-3; 38-42; where Current Value of Jackpot (JPc) is reset to Initial Jackpot Value (JPi) to establish Jackpot Value for next game cycle).

10. As per claim 9, Tracy teaches a method for corroborating a gaming machine jackpot payment, comprising:

generating a jackpot winning signal corresponding to a jackpot won by a gaming player of a gaming machine, said jackpot winning signal including a jackpot value (see column 6, lines 62-650;

determining a jackpot payment user authorization (see column 6, lines 66-68 to column 7. lines 1-4):

verifying the jackpot value (see column 5, lines 28-31);

creating a jackpot transaction record indicating authorization of a transfer of the jackpot value without a corroborating jackpot payment user (see Fig. 1; memory (22); column 4, lines 61-68 to column 5, lines 1-3; 38-42; where Current Value of Jackpot (JPc) is reset to Initial Jackpot Value (JPi) to establish Jackpot Value for next game cycle).; and

authorizing the jackpot payment user to credit the jackpot value to the winning player without a jackpot payment corroborating witness ((see column 7, lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 3691

 As per claim 11, Tracy teaches claim 9 as described above. Tracy further teaches the method wherein

the jackpot winning signal includes at least one of chronological data or a gaming machine identifier (see Fig; Gaming machine (2-5); column 6, lines 63-65; where signal is conveyed to identify gaming machine).

12. As per claim 40, Tracy teaches a method for corroborating a gaming machine jackpot payment, comprising:

receiving a jackpot signal from the gaming machine, said jackpot signal corresponding to a jackpot won by a gaming player of a gaming machine and including a jackpot value (see Fig. 1, column 3, lines 33-37; where communication unit 26 receives jackpot hit data message from one of the gaming machine 10 and ASCI "0-7" to indicate which of jackpot listed in Table 16 has been hit);

receiving a jackpot payment request initiated by a jackpot payment user at the gaming machine, said jackpot payment request including a user identification signal and a jackpot payment value (see column 6, lines 62-68; where controller 11 conveys payout and control signal to gaming machine enabling itself to make payout);

determining a jackpot payment authorization for the jackpot payment user; comparing the jackpot value and the jackpot payment value (see Fig. 1; column 2, line 68 to column 3, lines 1-8; where communication unit 26 transmit to particular game unit confirming current value of the jackpot hit):

Art Unit: 3691

authorizing the jackpot payment user to pay the jackpot value to the winning player at the gaming machine without a jackpot payment corroborating witness if the jackpot value and the jackpot payment value are equal; paying the jackpot value to the gaming player (see column 7, lines 25-30); and

storing parameters of the jackpot value payment in a jackpot payment database (see Fig. 1; memory (22); column 4, lines 61-68 to column 5, lines 1-3; 38-42; where Current Value of Jackpot (JPc) is reset to Initial Jackpot Value (JPi) to establish Jackpot Value for next game cycle).

- Claims 22-39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Solomon, U.S Patent No. 6,892,938 (reference B in attached PTO-892)
- 14. As per claim 22, Solomon teaches a method for paying a gaming machine lackpot, comprising:

generating a jackpot payment transaction request from a jackpot payment user (see Fig. 2; column 2, lines 53-67; where employee or payment user request payment transaction approval by listing transaction and identifying him/her using biometric sensor);

verifying a jackpot payment request value with a jackpot signal value of a jackpot signal transmitted from a gaming machine (see Fig. 2; column 5, lines 1-10; where computer 38 compare the sensed biometric characteristics with the stored characteristics of the payment user or employee):

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/723,129

Art Unit: 3691

authorizing a transfer without a jackpot payment corroborating witness of a verified jackpot value to a player of said gaming machine (see column 6, lines 34-41; where cash payment is made at cash dispensing peripheral without corroborating witness).

printing a jackpot payment transaction receipt including indicia that a jackpot payment corroborating witness is not required for transfer of verified jackpot value (see column 6, lines 28-38; where witness is not required for payment of jackpot for predetermined value and additional authorization required for payment over the predetermined value).

 As per claim 23, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein

the jackpot signal further includes at least one of a gaming player identity value, a gaming machine identity value, a chronological value, or gaming outcome data (see column 7, lines 56-58).

 As per claim 24, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein

the jackpot payment transaction request further includes a jackpot payment user identity value (see column 5, lines 33-57; where payment user identity value include biometric characteristics defined by physical attributes).

17. As per claim 25, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein verifying the jackpot value comprises:

Art Unit: 3691

comparing the jackpot value of the jackpot signal to a maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment value; and requiring a jackpot payment corroborating witness if the jackpot value of the jackpot signal is greater than the maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment value (see Fig. 4; column 27-45; where funds are paid at jackpot fill station using cash dispensing peripheral without witness for predetermined amount).

 As per claim 26, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein

the maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment value is a selectable value (see Fig. 4; column 6, lines 40-45).

19. As per claim 27, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein verifying the jackpot value comprises:

comparing the jackpot payment request value of the jackpot payment transaction request to a maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment value; and requiring a jackpot payment corroborating witness if the jackpot payment request value is greater than the maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment value; else authorizing the jackpot payment transaction request without a payment corroborating witness requirement (see Fig. 4: column 6, lines 28-45).

 As per claim 28, Solomon teaches claim 27 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/723,129

Art Unit: 3691

the maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment value is a selectable value (see column 6, lines 28-41; where witness-less manual payment of jackpot is for predetermined value).

21. As per claim 29-30, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein

verifying the jackpot value comprises correlating the jackpot signal value with the jackpot payment request value; and rejecting the jackpot payment transaction request if the jackpot signal value is not equal to the jackpot payment request value; and storing the jackpot payment transaction request rejection (see column 7, lines 39-42; where if the jackpot payment amount over predetermined amount is rejected unless authorization another employee or cashier is obtained).

 As per claim 31, Solomon teaches claim 30 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein transferring the jackpot value comprises

crediting the jackpot value to a player account (see column 3, lines 42-47; where jackpot payment is credited).

23. As per claim 32, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method comprising:

transferring the jackpot value from the jackpot payment user to the gaming player of said gaming machine (see column 3, lines 43-47; where jackpot payment is made to gaming player by hand pay, hopper fills or credits).

24. As per claim 33, Solomon teaches claim 32 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein transferring the jackpot value to a player comprises

Art Unit: 3691

physically transferring a tangible value medium from the jackpot payment user to the player (see column 6, lines 33-36; where payment user or employee physically takes printed ticket to cashier to pay the gaming player).

25. As per claim 34, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method comprising:

storing jackpot value transfer data in a jackpot payment data log (see column 3, lines 44; where examiner interprets crediting the gaming player jackpot payment involves storing jackpot transfer data).

26. As per claim 35, Solomon teaches claim 34 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method of storing jackpot value transfer data comprises

storing data representing at least one of the jackpot signal or the jackpot payment transaction request (see Fig. 2; column 2, lines 53-67; where employee or payment user request payment transaction approval by listing transaction and identifying him/her using biometric sensor).

27. As per claim 36, Solomon teaches claim 22as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein authorizing a jackpot value transfer comprises:

determining if the jackpot payment user has an associated jackpot manual payment permission; approving the jackpot payment transaction request if the jackpot payment user has an associated jackpot manual payment permission (see column 5, lines 1-6; manual payment pf jackpot is permitted after matching sensed biometric characteristics to stored characteristics of the employee making manual payment); and

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 10/723,129

Art Unit: 3691

assigning a jackpot value transfer authorization code (see column 5, lines 7-17; where computer 38 print out ticket after matching the biometric characteristics of the employee signifying the authorization of the manual payment to jackpot winner).

28. As per claim 37, Solomon teaches claim 22 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method wherein:

storing jackpot value transfer data comprises storing the jackpot payment transaction request and the jackpot value transfer authorization code (see column 3, lines 44; where examiner interprets crediting the gaming player jackpot payment involves storing jackpot transfer data which includes jackpot payment transaction request and the jackpot value transfer authorization code).

29. As per claim 38-39, Solomon teaches claim 36 as described above. Solomon further teaches the method comprising:

rejecting the jackpot payment transaction request if the jackpot payment user does not have an associated jackpot manual payment permission; comparing the jackpot payment request value to a jackpot payment value limit associated with the jackpot payment user; approving the jackpot payment transaction request if the jackpot payment request value is equal to or less than the jackpot payment value limit; and rejecting the jackpot payment transaction request if the jackpot payment request value is greater than the jackpot payment value limit (see column 7, lines 39-48; where if amount of jackpot payment to be made by an employee is over predetermined amount, additional authorization by another employee is required).

Page 14

Application/Control Number: 10/723,129

Art Unit: 3691

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

30. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claim 2, 6-7, 10, 12-21 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tracy (reference A in attached PTO-892) in view of Solomon, U.S.
   Patent No. 6,892,938 (reference B in attached PTO-892).
- 32. As per claim 2, Tracy teaches claim 1 as described above. Tracy further teaches creating a record of the authorized transfer as described in claim 1 above.

Tracy does not teach printing a jackpot payment transaction receipt including indicia indicating that authorization was granted without the requirement for a corroborating payment witnessing user.

Solomon teaches printing a jackpot payment transaction receipt including indicial indicating that authorization was granted without the requirement for a corroborating payment witnessing user (Solomon, column 6, lines 34-35).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate printing a jackpot payment transaction receipt including indicia indicating that authorization was granted without the requirement for a corroborating payment witnessing user of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable jackpot payment user to take ticket to the cashier station s for payment for predetermined limit (Solomon, column 6, lines 34-38).

Art Unit: 3691

33. As per claims 6-7, Tracy teaches claim 1 as described above.

Tracy does not teach generating an unconfirmed jackpot value signal if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is not equal to the jackpot transaction value of the transaction signal; generating a witness summoning signal; comparing the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal to a maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment value; and requiring a corroborating payment witnessing user if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is greater than a witness-less jackpot manual payment maximum value.

Solomon teaches generating an unconfirmed jackpot value signal if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is not equal to the jackpot transaction value of the transaction signal; generating a witness summoning signal; comparing the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal to a maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment value; and requiring a corroborating payment witnessing user if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is greater than a witness-less jackpot manual payment maximum value (Solomon, column 6, lines 28-45; where employee pays jackpot without witness such as through cash dispensing peripheral for predetermined amount; additional authorization or witness is required for payment over predetermined value).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate generating an unconfirmed jackpot value signal if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is not equal to the jackpot transaction value of the transaction signal; generating a witness summoning signal; comparing the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal to a maximum jackpot witness-less manual payment

Application/Control Number: 10/723,129 Page 16

Art Unit: 3691

value; and requiring a corroborating payment witnessing user if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is greater than a witness-less jackpot manual payment maximum value of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable to reduce the threat of fraud or theft (Solomon, column 2, lines 6-9).

34. As per claim 10, Tracy teaches claim 9 as described above. Tracy further teaches creating a record of the authorized transfer as described in claim 9 above

Tracy does not teach printing a jackpot payment transaction receipt including indicia indicating that authorization was granted without the requirement for a corroborating payment witnessing user.

Solomon teaches printing a jackpot payment transaction receipt including indicial indicating that authorization was granted without the requirement for a corroborating payment witnessing user (Solomon, column 6, lines 34-35).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate printing a jackpot payment transaction receipt including indicia indicating that authorization was granted without the requirement for a corroborating payment witnessing user of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable jackpot payment user to take ticket to the cashier station s for payment for predetermined limit (Solomon, column 6, lines 34-38).

35. As per claim 12-13, Tracy teaches claim 9 as described above.

Art Unit: 3691

Tracy teaches credit the jackpot value to the winning player without a jackpot payment corroborating witness (see column 7, lines 25-31).

Tracy does not teach determining a jackpot payment user authorization comprises determining a jackpot manual payment permission of the jackpot payment user; comparing a jackpot payment user identification code entered at the gaming machine to a stored jackpot payment user identification code; and authorizing the jackpot payment user to credit the jackpot value to the winning player.

Solomon teaches determining a jackpot payment user authorization comprises determining a jackpot manual payment permission of the jackpot payment user; comparing a jackpot payment user identification code entered at the gaming machine to a stored jackpot payment user identification code; and authorizing the jackpot payment user to credit the jackpot value to the winning player (Solomon, column 2, lines 15-23; column 7, lines 39-42).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate determining a jackpot payment user authorization comprises determining a jackpot manual payment permission of the jackpot payment user; comparing a jackpot payment user identification code entered at the gaming machine to a stored jackpot payment user identification code; and authorizing the jackpot payment user to credit the jackpot value to the winning player of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable to reduce the threat of fraud or theft (Solomon, column 2, lines 6-9).

36. As per claims 14-15. Tracy teaches claim 9 as described above.

Art Unit: 3691

Tracy does not teach determining a jackpot payment user authorization comprises generating a jackpot manual payment permission request for the jackpot payment user if said jackpot payment user does not have an associated jackpot manual payment permission; and logging the jackpot manual payment permission; and logging the jackpot manual payment permission;

Solomon teaches assigning the jackpot payment transaction to employees of casino and storing biometric characteristics of the employee (Solomon, Fig. 4, step 52; Fig. 2, steps 62, 64; column 5, lines 33-54; Examiner interprets assignment of payment transaction involves processing request for new permission).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate determining a jackpot payment user authorization comprises generating a jackpot manual payment permission request for the jackpot payment user if said jackpot payment user does not have an associated jackpot manual payment permission; and logging the jackpot manual payment permission request of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable to reduce the threat of fraud or theft (Solomon, column 2, lines 6-9).

37. As per claims 16-17, Tracy teaches claim 9 as described above. Tracy further teaches the method of claim 9, further comprising:

crediting the jackpot value to the winning player; dispensing to the winning player cash equal to the jackpot value, dispensing to the winning player a check in the amount of the jackpot value (see column 3, lines 53-56).

Art Unit: 3691

Tracy does not teach assigning a credit equal to the jackpot value to the credit meter of the gaming machine, or assigning a credit equal to the jackpot value to an account of the winning player.

Solomon teaches assigning a credit equal to the jackpot value to the credit meter of the gaming machine, or assigning a credit equal to the jackpot value to an account of the winning player (Solomon, column 3, lines 42-44).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate assigning a credit equal to the jackpot value to the credit meter of the gaming machine, or assigning a credit equal to the jackpot value to an account of the winning player t of Tracy because including above features would enable to reduce the gaming machine operating costs.

38. As per claim 18, Tracy teaches claim 9 as described above.

Tracy does not teach the method comprising comparing the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal to a jackpot manual witness payment value; and declining to authorize the jackpot payment user to credit the jackpot value to the winning player if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is greater than the jackpot manual witness payment value.

Solomon teaches the method comprising comparing the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal to a jackpot manual witness payment value (column 3, lines 44-50); and declining to authorize the jackpot payment user to credit the jackpot value to the winning player if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is greater than the

Page 20

Application/Control Number: 10/723,129

Art Unit: 3691

jackpot manual witness payment value (see column 4, lines 64-67 to column 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate comparing the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal to a jackpot manual witness payment value; and declining to authorize the jackpot payment user to credit the jackpot value to the winning player if the jackpot value of the jackpot winning signal is greater than the jackpot manual witness payment value of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable to reduce the threat of fraud or theft (Solomon, column 2, lines 6-9).

39. As per claim 19, Tracy teaches claim 9 as described above.

Tracy does not teach the method wherein the jackpot manual witness payment value is a selectable value.

Solomon teaches the method wherein the jackpot manual witness payment value is a selectable value (see column 3, lines 44-50).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the jackpot manual witness payment value is a selectable value of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable to meet the governmental reporting requirements for casino to reduce fraud and theft (Solomon, column 2, lines 4-6).

40. As per claim 20-21, Tracy teaches claim 9 as described above.

Tracy does not teach the method comprising storing parameters of the jackpot value credit authorization in a jackpot payment database; and parameters of the jackpot

Art Unit: 3691

value credit authorization include at least one of the jackpot value, a gaming machine identifier, gaming machine chronological data, and a jackpot payment user identifier.

Solomon teaches storing parameters of the jackpot value credit authorization in a jackpot payment database; and parameters of the jackpot value credit authorization include at least one of the jackpot value, a gaming machine identifier, gaming machine chronological data, and a jackpot payment user identifier (Solomon, column 2, lines 18-23, 30-36).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate storing parameters of the jackpot value credit authorization in a jackpot payment database; and parameters of the jackpot value credit authorization include at least one of the jackpot value, a gaming machine identifier, gaming machine chronological data, and a jackpot payment user identifier of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable to reduce the threat of fraud and theft (Solomon, column 2, lines 6-9).

# 41. As per claim 41, Tracy teaches claim 40 as described above.

Tracy does not teach the method comprising receiving a jackpot reimbursement request from a jackpot payment user at a value station remote from the gaming machine, said transaction reimbursement request including the user identification signal; comparing the user identification signal of the jackpot reimbursement request with the user identification signal of the jackpot transaction request; authorizing a reimbursement of the jackpot value to the jackpot payment user if the user identification

Art Unit: 3691

signals match; and printing a jackpot transaction record indicating authorization of a transfer of the jackpot value without a corroborating jackpot payment user.

Solomon teaches the method comprising receiving a jackpot reimbursement request from a jackpot payment user at a value station remote from the gaming machine, said transaction reimbursement request including the user identification signal; comparing the user identification signal of the jackpot reimbursement request with the user identification signal of the jackpot transaction request; authorizing a reimbursement of the jackpot value to the jackpot payment user if the user identification signals match; and printing a jackpot transaction record indicating authorization of a transfer of the jackpot value without a corroborating jackpot payment user (Solomon, abstract).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method comprising receiving a jackpot reimbursement request from a jackpot payment user at a value station remote from the gaming machine, said transaction reimbursement request including the user identification signal; comparing the user identification signal of the jackpot reimbursement request with the user identification signal of the jackpot transaction request; authorizing a reimbursement of the jackpot value to the jackpot payment user if the user identification signals match; and printing a jackpot transaction record indicating authorization of a transfer of the jackpot value without a corroborating jackpot payment user of Tracy because Solomon teaches including above features would enable to

Art Unit: 3691

reduce the threat of fraud or theft (Solomon, column 2, lines 6-9).

### Conclusion

42. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosures. The following are pertinent to current invention, though not relied upon:

Hilgendorf et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,249,800 teach progressive gaming control and communication system.

Nguyen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,984,175) teach electronic payout administration method and system for gaming apparatus.

Nguyen et al. (U.S. Pub No. 2003/0162591) teach player authentication for cashless gaming machine instruments.

Orus et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,580,310) teach games machine with mechanical counters a laid down by regulations, and with electronic payment mechanism.

Stanek (U.S. Pub No. 2003/0069059) teaches lotto game having jackpot prize level.

Stern (U.S. Patent No. 6,110,044) teaches method and apparatus for issuing and automatically validating gaming machine payout tickets.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bijendra K. Shrestha whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3691

(571)270-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-4:30PM (Monday-Friday): 2nd Friday OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571)272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691

bks/3691

Art Unit: 3691